

Theresa A. McClenaghan, LL.B.
BARRISTER & SOLICITOR

7 William Street, Paris,
Ontario N3L 1K6
Tel: (519) 442-5571
or 442-5572
Fax: (519) 442-5567
email: tmcclena@web.net

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ISSUES FOR BUSINESS

NOTES FOR BRANTFORD SUNRISE ROTARY

March 5, 1997

Thank you for inviting me this morning. I'm going to take a few minutes to talk about the following topics:

A. What is "Environmental Law"? I'll try to give you some a concrete idea of the issues that arise in this field.

B. Some current issues in environmental law. This is a very dynamic and changing time in environmental law, at all levels of government. There are many interesting questions that we should be thinking about in terms of the future directions of environmental policy and legislation.

C. New developments in contaminated lands. If time permits, I'll also speak briefly about a specific area of interest, that of contaminated lands, and about some recent policy changes that are providing more opportunities to deal with contaminated lands, orphaned sites, and unproductive, contaminated properties. Even if we don't have much time for this topic, please take a copy of the notes I've brought this morning, for more detail.

After that, I hope that you have questions, and I'll be happy to answer as many as you have time for.

A. WHAT IS "ENVIRONMENTAL LAW"?

The reason that I am posing this question first, is that I am frequently asked what my field is all about. Unlike other fields of law which may have been around longer, the term "environmental law" does not always bring a clear picture with it.

Environmental Law covers all of the following, and more:

- Advice to businesses about whether they are in compliance with environmental laws and regulations
- Advice to businesses and property owners about their obligations under environmental laws and regulations
- Advice about "due diligence" - ensuring that preventive measures are taken to avoid any government or common law liabilities
- Environmental operations legal "audits" and/or environmental management systems
- Advice to officers, directors and even investors about their possible liabilities under environmental laws
- Land use planning advice, particularly with respect to the many environmental laws
- Advice to financial institutions, local governments (townships, towns, cities), and insurance companies, among others, about their potential for "indirect" liability through their customers or rate payers
- Advice regarding contaminated lands clean up and reuse
- Litigation regarding contaminated lands (e.g. by a neighbouring industry)
- Advice regarding spills, hazardous materials transportation, packaging and labelling
- Litigation for nuisance, trespass, negligence, personal injury, and other causes of action due to environmental contaminants and hazardous substances
- Constitutional law - for example in challenging legislation that threatens public safety and the environment
- Public health and safety issues arising from contaminants and hazardous substances
- Environmental Assessment Act and Environmental Protection Act hearings

- Waste management advice
- Actions and legal remedies to protect endangered habitats such as wetlands or forests, whether on a locally significant or provincially significant level
- Public education
- Public policy advocacy

B. SOME CURRENT ISSUES IN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

In addition to the liability and compliance concerns that are reflected in the above list, and that I have discussed, there are some interesting issues that are current in environmental law.

1. What is the "right" kind of environmental regulation? How far should regulatory control go versus voluntary action?
2. What are your expectations of government in terms of health and safety regulation? Do you expect setting of minimum standards, enforcement, and scrutiny as to safe practices? Do you expect government to be familiar with current findings in the health and safety arena?
3. Are your expectations the same regarding environmental regulation?
4. What kind of planning should be done, and at what level of government? For example, should there be provincial policy regarding endangered species, threatened habitats, and other such environmental concerns? If so, how should these policies be implemented?
5. How should we view the planning process in our local communities? Should we have overall official plans and five to ten year or longer visions? Who should participate in these plans?
6. Are environmental issues segregated from economic issues? Most firms espouse commitment to environmental concerns; many firms are directly based on environmental protection. The environmental consulting, planning, engineering & remediation industry has exploded in the last 8 years, and is now a significant sector of the provincial economy, with a major volume of business in Ontario and large quantities of exports from Ontario.

7. What about the approach that we take to solving environmental and planning issues? Are we thinking small and modular or "megaproject"? Why do those differences matter?

8. What are the opportunities for our children in the fields of environmental endeavour? Are we making sure that they are well prepared to take advantage of the opportunities in science, technology, education, urban planning, policy, medicine, engineering and many other fields that are available from the environmental protection, remediation and planning activities?

9. Have we "internalized" environmental considerations in all of our economic and planning decisions, both locally and in broader scale? Why should we internalize these considerations?

10. What are some of the approaches that we can take to these issues? How do incentives matter? How do we do our risk assessment? Are we interested in innovations like "tradeable emissions" and other market incentives?

11. What are some of the local issues that need to be addressed in provincial policy? For example, what can we do with abandoned, contaminated properties? What are our local "hot spots" that we want to return to productive use?

C. NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN CONTAMINATED LANDS

1. 1996 CONTAMINATED SITES CLEAN-UP GUIDELINES

In May, 1996, the Ministry of Environment & Energy released long-awaited revised guidelines for the clean-up of contaminated sites. Some of the notable points about the new guidelines are:

- The guidelines cover many **more contaminants** than did the previous 1992 draft guidelines.
- The guidelines provide for "stratified" clean-up, depending on the **proposed use** of the land. In other words, different clean up standards to various depths may be selected, depending on whether the land is intended to be used for residential, park, commercial or industrial purposes.
- There are various standards for soil and for ground water, depending upon whether the ground water could be used in drinking wells.
- If anything less than a "full" clean up is selected, **caveats** may have to be

registered on the title to the land, so as to advise future potential purchasers, lenders, owners and others that the site was not completely cleaned up, and there may still be some residual contamination at depth.

- The MOEE will not be certifying the clean-ups or giving any assurances about them. It will be entirely **up to the land owner** and its professional consultants to select the appropriate clean up level. However, there are requirements for the engineers to prepare sworn statements as to the clean-up that was completed.

2. MOEE "GLOBAL AGREEMENTS" FOR LENDERS AND OTHER PARTIES

As of the spring of 1997, the Ministry of Environment & Energy, Ontario has approved and is now entering into "global agreements" with lenders and certain other parties concerning their entry onto contaminated lands.

Lenders and others have long been concerned that they may be constrained from investigating the feasibility of a site clean-up in preparation for resale when their customer defaults on a mortgage involving contaminated land. The justifiable fear is that they would then fall into the definition of "**person in control**" under the Environmental Protection Act and thus open themselves to the possibility of a full clean up order from the Ministry of Environment & Energy for the land. Many lenders, therefore, would not make even the most cursory of inspections whenever there was a suspicion of contamination. Obviously, this was detrimental, not only to the lenders who were unable to realize on their collateral, but also to the general public, since many contaminated lands have been sitting idle, untreated and unproductive.

The Ministry of Environment & Energy has provided a partial solution to this problem, by agreeing to enter into the above mentioned "global agreements" in certain circumstances.

These agreements are available to **other parties**, too, such as **municipalities**, and possibly to **insurers** and prospective **purchasers**.

The global agreements provide:

- The Lender or other party **shall not be a "Responsible Person"** under the Ontario environmental laws with respect to any property, nor be subject to any orders, proceedings, etc. with respect to environmental contamination or violations that is already in existence or has already occurred simply by having entered on the land, or having taken action as Lender (or other) to investigate

the environmental or other condition of the property.

- Similarly, protection is available for action taken by the Lender (or other) to **preserve or protect the value** of the property, including paying services, taxes etc., and dealing with **immediate danger** or threat of immediate danger to public health and safety.
- It is not necessary to enter into the agreement for every property; in fact it is intended to be global and to cover **all such properties** that the Lender (or other) may thus deal with.
- It is not necessary to notify the MOEE regarding every such entry; the only **notification** requirements are:
 - if the Lender becomes aware of an **immediate danger** or threat of immediate danger to public health and safety
 - if the Lender decides **NOT to proceed** with any further actions or steps because of adverse environmental conditions at the site.
- The Lender must **disclose** to any person who acquires an interest in the property through the Lender, the nature of any environmental problems with the property of which the Lender has knowledge.
- The Lender will provide copies to the MOEE of any **reports** prepared by the Lender with respect to any particular property, upon written request by the MOEE.

These agreements are being negotiated by some, but not all of the major financial institutions in the province. Each bank's head office must be contacted to ascertain whether it has signed such a global agreement with the MOEE.

For **non-lender parties**, the MOEE is amenable to similar agreements, but their applicability must be specifically negotiated on behalf of such parties.

For lenders and non-lenders, **site-specific agreements** may be negotiated with the MOEE. However, the MOEE will only entertain such site-specific agreements if "there is something in it for them". Examples include some defined minimum level of clean up; possibly some financial reserves; or other obvious public environmental benefit to be obtained in exchange for the

Theresa McClenaghan - March 5, 1997 - Notes for Brantford Sunrise Rotary

protection granted to the contracting party.

If you have a situation which may call for such agreements, please don't hesitate to contact me.

I hope that this rapid overview of some of the concerns of environmental law has been of interest and assistance. I will be happy to answer any questions, or discuss any of these issues further.