IMPROVED LAKEFILL QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

FEBRUARY 1991

Contents

I Introduction.....Page 1

II Discussion.....Page 1

III Fill Received Statistics.....Page 1

IV Rejected Material.....Page 2

V Chemical Test Audit Results.....Page 2-3

VI Site by Site Breakdown of Fill Volumes.....Page 3

VII Appendix A - Lakefill Location Soil Acceptability Checklists

VIII Appendix B - Violation Reports

I Introduction

The following report contains the fill received statistics for all lakefill sites in the month of February 1991.

II Discussion

The volume of fill received at lakefill sites in February 1991, was once again down significantly from the same month the previous year. The number of truck loads of lakefill soils in February 1990 was 10,085 as compared to 1,186 in February 1991.

The ILQCP staff received 43 applications for lakefill Bills of Lading, all of which were approved in full with no material rejected. In addition, no violations were reported in February.

III Fill Received Statistics

Table 1. Destination Area Breakdown for February, 1991.

	Volume (m^3)		
	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Large Projects	1,186	0	0
Small Projects	0	0	8

Table 2. Fill Volume Totals for February, 1991.

	Volume(m^3)	% of Total
Large Projects	11,860	99.3
Small Projects	80	0.7
Total	11,940	100.0

IV Rejected Material

A. Material rejected at the application stage by chemical analysis results.

-EV01755 - 68 Marchington Circle, Scarborough Utilities was rejected at the application stage in February 1991. (20 m3)

B. Material rejected after visual inspection of excavation sites. These projects were all rejected in their entirety.

- No soil was rejected in this manner in February 1991.

C. Truck loads rejected by visual inspection at the lakefill site .

- No truck loads were rejected in this manner in February, 1991.

V Chemical Testing Audit Results

Table 3. Summary of Test Results Obtained as part of the I.L.Q.C.P. internal auditing program in February, 1991.

Type of Sample	# of Results Received	# Failing Open Water Guidelines	# Failing Restricted Guidelines
Taken from trucks originating from "small projects"	0	-	-
Taken from trucks originating from "large projects"	3	0	0

Results Discussion

All samples taken tested below the Open Water Guidelines.

VI Fill Volumes Received by Site

Table 4. Site by Site Breakdown of Fill Volumes Received in February 1991.

Site	Volume (cubic metres)		
	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Leslie St.	1,186	0	0
Sam Smith	0	0	8
Fishleigh	0	0	0
Guildwood	0	0	0
Bellamy	0	0	0
Totals	1,186	0	8

VII Appendix A

Lakefill Location Soil Acceptability Checklists

Note: No reports issued in February 1991.

VIII Appendix B

Violation Reports

Note : No violation reports were issued in February 1991.

IMPROVED LAKEFILL QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

MARCH 1991

CONTENTS

I Introduction..... Page 1

II Discussion..... Page 1

III Fill Received Statistics..... Page 1

IV Rejected Material..... Page 2

V Chemical Test Audit Results..... Page 2-3

VI Site by Site Breakdown of Fill Volumes..... Page 3

VII Appendix A - Lakefill Location Soil Acceptability Checklists

VIII Appendix B - Violation Reports

I Introduction

The following report contains the fill received statistics for all lakefill sites in the month of March 1991.

II Discussion

The volume of fill received at lakefill sites in March 1991, was once again down significantly from the same month the previous year. The number of truck loads of lakefill soils in March 1990 was18,543 as compared to 2,627 in March 1991.

The ILQCP staff received 36 applications for lakefill Bills of Lading, all of which were approved in full with no material rejected. In addition no violations were reported in March.

III Fill Received Statistics

Table 1. Destination Area Breakdown for March 1991.

LOADS Volume (m^3)

	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Large Projects	2,627	0	0
Small Projects	0	0	0

Table 2. Fill Volume Totals for March 1991.

	Volume (m^3)	% of Total
Large Projects	26,270	100.0
Small Projects	0	0
Total	26,270	100.0

IV Rejected Material

A. Material rejected at the application stage by chemical analysis results.

- no material was rejected in this manner in March 1991.

B. Material rejected after visual inspection of excavation sites. These projects were all rejected in their entirety.

- no material was rejected in this manner in March 1991.

C. Truck loads rejected by visual inspection at the lakefill sites.

- no material was rejected in this manner in March 1991.

V Chemical Testing Audit Results

Table 3. Summary of test results obtained as part of the ILQCP internal auditing program in March 1991.

Sample Taken From	#of Results Received	#Failing OWG	#Failing RLUG
Small Site trucks	0	-	-
Large Site trucks	3	0	0

OWG = Ontario Ministry of the Environment "Open Water Disposal Guidelines"
RLUG= Ontario Ministry of the Environment "Restricted Land Use Guidelines"

Results Discussion

All samples taken tested below the Open Water Guidelines.

VI Fill Volumes Received by Site

Table 5. Site by Site Breakdown of Fill Volumes Received in March 1991.

Site	Volume (cubic metres)		
	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Leslie St.	2,627	0	0
Sam Smith	0	0	0
Bellamy	0	0	0
Fishleigh	0	0	0
Guildwood	0	0	0
Totals	2,267	0	0

VII Appendix A

Lakefill Location Soil Acceptability Checklists

Note : no reports were issued in March 1991.

VIII Appendix B

Violation Reports

Note : no reports were issued in March 1991.

IMPROVED LAKEFILL QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

APRIL 1991

Contents

I	Introduction	Page 1
II	Discussion	Page 1
III	Fill Received Statistics	Page 1
IV	Rejected Material	Page 2
V	Chemical Test Audit Results	Page 2-3
VI	Site by Site Breakdown of Volumes	Page 3
VII	Appendix A - Lakefill Location Soil Acceptabiltiy Checklists	
VIII	Appendix B - Violation Reports	

I Introduction

The following report contains the fill received statistics for all lakefill sites in the month of April 1991.

II Discussion

The volume of fill received at lakefill site in April 1991, was once again down significantly from the same month the previous year. The number of truck loads of lakefill soils in April 1990 was 17,946 as compared to 4,510 in April 1991.

The ILQCP staff received 47 applications for lakefill Bills of Lading. All of these applications were approved except one with material being rejected. In addition, no violations were reported in April.

III Fill Received Statistics

Table 1. Destination Area Breakdown for April 1991.

	Volume (m^3)		
	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Large Projects	4,510	0	0
Small Projects	0	0	0

Table 2. Fill Volume Totals for April 1991..

	Volume (m^3)	% of Total
Large Projects	45,100	100.0
Small Projects	0	0
Total	45,100	100.0

IV Rejected Material

A. Material rejected at the application stage by chemical analysis results.

- EV01843 - 165 Midwest Rd., Scarborough Utilities was rejected at the application stage in April 1991. (50 m3)

B. Material rejected after visual inspection of excavation sites. These projects were all rejected in their entirety.

- No soil was rejected in this manner in April 1991.

C. Truck loads rejected by visual inspection at a lakefill site.

- No truck loads were rejected in this manner in April 1991.

V Chemical Testing Audit Results

Table 3. Summary of Test Results Obatined as part of the ILQCP internal auditing program in April 1991.

Type of Sample	#of Results Received	# Failing Open Water Guidelines	# Failing Restricted Guidelines
Taken from trucks originating from "small projects"	0	-	-
Taken from trucks originating from "large projects"	3	0	0

Results Discussion

All samples taken tested below the Open Water Guidelines.

VI Fill Volumes Received by Site

Table 4. Site by Site Breakdown of Fill Volumes Received in April 1991.

Site	Volume (cubic metres)		
	Open Water	Protected	Confined
Leslie Street	4,510	0	0
Sam Smith	0	0	0
Fishleigh	0	0	0
Guildwood	0	0	0
Bellamy	0	0	0
Totals	4,510	0	0

VII Appendix A

Lakefill Location Soil Acceptability Checklists

Note: No reports issued in April 1991.

VIII Appendix B

Violation Reports

Note: No Violation reportd were issued in April 1991.