

ERRATA

1. Final Stage 2C Evaluation and Summary Report

1. Section 4.0, Table 3

The regional cost factor should read 0.4% more expensive (not less).

2. Chart 5 preceeding page 14

The ranking of costs should be as follows:

A	B	C	D	E	F
1	5	5	1	1	4

3. Table 4

Under the "Population Impact" of the Social Environment factor, the advantages category should read

- no on-site population impacts (i.e., dislocation) which is unique; low disruption to residents in study area and low disruption to an established community.

2. Comparison of Phase 2, Stage 2C Draft and Final Reports

1. Page 27, Chart 2: Biophysical

The first sentence should read:

"References to the loss of a portion of a woodlot and of a movement corridor are added to the Site D column."

3. C.A.O. Report 203/85 "Halton's New Landfill Component - Approvals Approach"

1. Page 29 Section 10 (a)

Part of this section should read:

"... approval for Sites F and D be set at \$1,917,436 including \$175,000 for contingency work ...".