

EX 90

To The Ontario Environmental Movement Board -

As a longtime resident and taxpayer of Aldershot
and Burlington I wish to make a representation to
the Board in opposition to any further expansion
of any kind to the Action Road/401 Site in Aldershot.
I have attended a great many so called public
meetings and hearings and made representations
to Burlington and Action Councils regarding our
feelings and wishes in Aldershot but nobody
appears to be listening. Everyone has their mind
made up ahead of time. Although there is a
hearing under the Environmental Protection Act
and not the Environmental Assessment Act I
wish that Aldershot opposing Ontario's largest Regime

(2)

Council with no support from the City of
Burlington of which we are supposed to be
a part. Milton Council budgeted '250,000⁰⁰ to
fight dumps in Milton. Burlington Council did
not even support us morally let alone with
money.

I am sure you are familiar with the expression
"NMBY" which stands for "NOT IN MY BACK YARD".
I would appreciate having you take back
to the Ministry a new expression "NIMFY"
"NOT IN MY FRONT YARD". Milton has filled up
our back yard. This is how Aldershot feels.
We have been looking after garbage from
East Chatham, Burlington and Milton
for over 68 years. We have had enough. We
have done our share. Our beautiful forest

over on the "Agreement has been
 changed from and left us with a
 threat of pollution from leachate to our
 property and health. At the Environmental
 Agreement Panel Hearing, 4 years ago, Hutton
 told us that Site F in Hutton would be the
 next dump for Hutton but after 4 years
 and spending over 4.5 million dollars they are
 still dumping in Aldashet, barrels all the
 way from Hutton and Scorpion. The strange
 fact about Hutton Council is that a majority
 of the councillors are actually from Burlington.
 The Ministry of the Environment in 1983 spent a
 considerable amount of money and money on
 forming what the "Solid Disposal" for waste
 Management in Ontario." In their publications

They permit the Jan R. S. River, Recycling
necessary and reduction. In the Municipality of
Adams Act 1980 Chapt 436 Section 137 Subsection 2
of note to the effect that the Region is responsible
for "recycling, dumping and disposing of waste."
Division of Councils the responsibility of waste
recycling and waste reduction should be
included in the Application for a Certificate of Approval.
So far although it has been discussed
by Adams Council when heard off to the matter
Municipalities such as Burlington, Oakville and
Mississauga. Even though the Waste dump is in
Burlington, Oakville has done a much better job
of recycling than Burlington. Adams Re-Cycling
and set up to carry out re-cycling in Adams
but has not secured the necessary support

The monitoring of the present landfill site is a joke. They claim they have two attendants at the scales to check the loads but they are as far away they would have to have binoculars to see what is in trucks especially plastic garbage bags. Why not have the yard men cut open some bags every once in awhile to check them. The number of plastic bags containing shavings from farms is considerable why not make each farm have a compost pile. How often do they check for toxic chemicals/poisons

1/4 of the landfill.

He 96 one turned or 13700 in miles. Almost 20% of the reduction of the total for 3 years would be 20%. This year they expect a 25-30% reduction. Determined efforts they reduce their waste the State of New Jersey, indicated that in 1 year they should get on with the job. A recent report from a year to the State's responsibility and they must be implemented immediately. It would to do a good job. Bayliss strongly endorsed

we then & contemporize the phy. & psychological reports and study the difference of opinions and methods used by the learned experts of our department of such words as "opposite" have no demonstrable effect on the expert judges quality "Volume 3 of Volume 3 Page P-14

Also, "The discharge of 100 million and the drain collection systems is measured."

the - drain collection systems is manual.

of equipment malfunctions. Volume 2 of Volume 3

Page 0-41

It would appear that with all the technological knowledge available there could be a better

Knowledge available there could be a better way to handle the Leachate than to put it in

Answers to a/Storage Disposal with that can't

handle toxic chemicals and the nutrients and

the more eventually get into late entrance to

Police are drinking water. No experts tell us

that our water is safe but if still to be sure

Arnolds had Semtex (strongly chlorinated)

We have to close our heads.