

Feb. 28, '85 "Public Review" - Milton
Centennial Manor
Ann Mulvick = Chair

Hans Kepner

Introduction:

(Walker) OWMC is not as far in agreement as Walker,
is little info. useful from them (although 19 reports were
issued by OWMC).

8.7 $\rightarrow$ 11.3×10^6 cu. yd. was the only change in the program.
(for a 20yr. period cap'n) (EFW delayed)

Category priorities were established by public agreement.

B & C sites had drilling results different than earlier
'reported' $\rightarrow$ they were so low in ranking that they would
be eliminated

A, D, E & F cont'd $\rightarrow$ "paving". He thinks it worked
very well, believes its simplicity is "beneficial"

Order = F D A E

"Draft" report - believe to have a "valid" recommendation
'based on facts' - If errors in fact, put it in writing.

"If you disagree, say why".

Councillors Best & Day attending

O & A

(also seen: McGoughlin
Johnston
Berlin, Peter Poweroy / K.

Q. (Hwy 25 resident) How was "heritage" info. collected

A site visits, historical societies, records...

Q. ~~May~~ May 1981 Regions info. is identical to yours (?),
incl. errors & omissions (about 6 cited)? A. (as above)

Q. McFilloe (since 1832 in area) Potential traffic problems
on Hwy 25 & Trafalgar Rd.? A. Marginal difference A/D/F

Q. Landfill NOT open on Sat.? A. (No) Q. in Summer? A. Yes

$\rightarrow$ Soccer games to be considered. [Berlin corrected Schiff:
"Yes, open on Sat's"]

Q Silt till thickness on 'D' - contradictions between geol. data report and the summary? ... (No) ...
 → Excavating to "Sandy silt till" (incl. some shale), with gravel flowing down ... Q. Not appropriate? A yes, many sites use this soil for attenuation.
 Q. Gravel & sand beds? A Found "isolated", thinks they are lenses (only 4 holes - need more before developing a (this) site. Q. If gravel did underly the site?
 A Then it would have to be reconsidered.

Q. Social Impact - 10 Trucks/day? A Additional to present ... A (Traffic specialist) Looked at highest density: 350 vehicles/day, half of them trucks
 Q. Report "5" says 700.
 A. (Spec., Paul...) ~~350~~ 350/d from South
 Q. How many now? A 11000/d, half = 5500/d from South
 Q. How many garbage trucks would be added A 175/d
 Q. Under traffic safety, ranked equal to 'F', but under Social Impact (p. 2-23, 2-25) "not noticeable impact" -- Need clear traffic access to South (commuting) Trucks are a hazard; traffic to hospital and Shopping Cent. Market not included! → Don't agree that F & D rank the same Q. Change ranking?
 A Will review.

Dr. Patrick

Q. Stress factor, table 7 of "Social impact" - Site F is the only site rated "moderate" - Meaning references to "stress are fighting the Tremaine/Britannia Rd. site" Why is F alone rated "moderate"?
 A (Schiff) Equity factor

|| Q. Why "stress" factor? A (Schiff) Milton asked for it.

Q. Site E "low species density" A. (Linda...) More a scattering of trees than a woodlot. Also fewer species. (No mathematical data).

Q. No quantitative data re. diversity? A Not as complete as could be: Would take full counting of ea. tree (Air doesn't agree)

Q. Consider for wildlife? A Mapped from air photos

Q. Animals on maps? - Approach is very "qualitative, not quantitative! A Detail mapping survey was not done: Only the potential corridors were identified.
→ D is not as well situated as other sites.

(Walker, interjecting): The level of detail was not as great as that required later: The Region decides which site to take for approval.

Q. How can you go with subjective decisions, without quantified data?

A (Walker) There is a point to choose a method for comparable results (e.g. no. of barchols, seasonal observations ...)

Q. One sample / year describes nat'l environment

A (Linda Hodes) June, July & Aug. 87 observed

Only F & E ranked higher than D re. woodlots (only)

Q. Other factors? A (read from report)

~~Multitask the other Q's?~~

Statements → (X) → Q

details
Q. Noise from dump? A. "Incidental" noises, e.g. tailgate banging - This is the same for ea. site, "incidental", follows other noise patterns

Q. Some not included? A. No, all included as "overall", following the same pattern as continuous noise

Q. Costs for noise affected

A. 'D' has slight excess, e.g. 250 vs. 300 m distance (criterion is 55.5 vs. 55.0 db A) - No extra cost allowed for this, to compensate?

→ Walker Compensation for off-site; Cost not built in

David Ward, Burlington

16 654 people with me; Concerned: ^{Display} Maps were not marked the same (Milton shown in yellow for municipal area, but not on Burlington map! -

Take a look - that site is in the city.

The no. of people affected is a multiple in Burlington

One site was given "possible contamination of a feedlot" - we have 16 000 people downstream facing that.

(Mulvale) → You have city water in Burlington.

(Cont'd) On site F, 3 rare plant species - more important than 1 possible butterfly (re. a Hydro line route)

Land use: No rational decisions in Ontario -

Toronto paves farms, many other farms get eliminated
Take a rational view.

Choice: Oakville could get dump + EFW,
Milton could get OWRC.

Q. Archaeological site - searched?

A. Looked at obvious signs such as growth patterns in the fields etc. → More detail for later.

Q. Students came to walk through for 1 hr. - if that is all, how does that qualify?

A. Normal procedure

Comment: I want a professional assessment!

D. Ward (Burlington) Clarifying that Burlington has 16,000 people downhill of Site F - leachate threat

Re. leachate (Al. Solauski): Sites A D E have ~~not~~ natural as primary, e.g. as secondary (F reversed) leachate controls. (Units of dust measurement?)

(J. Kochman) Q. Social, chart 3: dust, odours. A. Dust in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in breathing zone. Q. How? A. Concentration, distance and no. of people. Q. by what stds? A. MOE etc. and published data. Q. Flat or berm? A. Berm. Q. Machinery incl.? A. ~~has~~ ^{machinery} at present Burl. site - and traffic and (erosion + wind).

Q. Berm causes turbulence? A. MOE guidance not helpful: Stepped back to an analysis of my own.

Q. Confidence? A. Incl. Cincinnati experts, the feeling is that berm is equal or better than flat land.

Q. Site F is different due to trees? ---

Q. Confidence level by factor of 2 or 10 or?

A. Assuming no base data were missed, within 15% (or 50%?) the tolerance coming from dispersion models (not exact).

Q. Better Weather data sources? A. (6 sites)

Q. Wind tower at Narragansett valley (not used)

(Walker): Data were offered, I know. (W & N. of Rattlesnake Point)

Q. To each of consultants:

Will you or do you now ~~get~~ work or get paid by OWMC? → One: Archaeology, "yes" (U. of West. Ont.)

Q. ~~Cost~~ How can archaeological sites be treated?
A. Work around, excavate or sacrifice (if insignificant).

Q. Cost of noise comparison? A (Walker) No comparison.

Q. Not if above MOE guidel.? A No, not either.

Q. What will now take place A See cover ^{schematic} in Summary
A (Mohammed) ~~is~~ May take 'til March 1986

Dir. of
Planning
& Development
of Region

Q. Is this the site? - You need comment input, are you not confident?

A (Milvale) Their (Walker...) recomm. goes to comments + response as a need of the process.

"Note: There is NO PERFECT SITE IN HALTON"

Q. If your perception is changed, will there be another round of public review?

A. (Walker) We would then have to ask Council to provide opportunities again (if there is a major change). There may be other consultants with different facts. We will defend our position.

Q. ~~Cost~~? (Milvale) Take it for virtually guaranteed, during
to speak for Councillor colleagues, to ask for input again.
(Mohammed) MOE: must conduct a public review

Rick Ray, Councillor

(compliments. ... Council: If 2 sites, or if other changes,

is that only due to new facts, or can Walker's conclusion be changed?

A (Walker): Only with new facts

Q: If OWMC interferes? (in "process" schedule)

A (Malvale) We are more advanced / need won't roll over, playing dead.

Q: Professional, expert witnesses at a hearing -

would Region's consultants be available? [re OWMC?]

Walker A: Yes, for a fee (not witnesses only!)

Q: Milton Council + citizens would work with the Region.

A (Mohammed) → Region stands independent!

(Brian?)

Observation: Milton = "Masses That Failed"

Earlier site F appeared very arbitrary. Now, 10 yrs. later, much more evidence, research etc.,

but the same political process is still available.

What was a political change may still be the same; it is still political: That frightens me.

Closing

(Applause)

(Malvale) NO PERFECT SITE!, remember!

The problem is ours, as we all make garbage.

→ Submissions; draft document before us.

- Thank you.

(10:30 pm)