



National Sewer Pipe Limited

December 1984

FACT SHEET

**CANDIDATE SITE "F" (P&Q NO. 1) LANDFILL PROPOSAL
FOR HALTON REGION**

- 1 — Situated between one existing landfill and one former landfill → } the more reason to stop;
- 2 — Landfilling has been an existing land use in the area since 1941 → } interferes with leachate controls
- 3 — No adjacent residential land use —
 Nearest residences (3) — 2,400 ft.
 Nearest subdivision — 3,000 ft. (South side CNR)
 Nearest subdivision — 4,200 ft. (Forestvale) } But in large numbers and are affected
- 4 — Traffic patterns are already established ✓
- 5 — Noise levels are already established → More noise for filling south end
- 6 — Hydrogeologically safe
- 7 — Existing groundwater is of extremely poor quality (leachate) NO: Shale not compatible with leachate
 Hearing: "Should not have been started"
- 8 — Municipal water system in use downstream of site ✓
- 9 — Allows rehabilitation of a topographical scar → Making a virtue out of neglect (?)
- 10 — Compatible with licensed shale removal → Best use; Ontario Gov't protects such resources.
- 11 — No loss of prime agricultural land ✓
- 12 — Adjacent to existing sanitary sewer which permits collection of leachate and treatment at Burlington Sewage Treatment Plant → (✓) BUT treatment not designed for leachate (!)
- 13 — Can make use of existing regional infrastructure, i.e. — access road, weigh scales, building, etc. Must be
- 14 — Recycling and resource recovery area available Don't see where → relocated anyway
- 15 — E.F.W. (Energy from Waste) site available near Hydro sub station (✓) BUT close to Maple Ave. develop
- 16 — Permits substantial savings in garbage collection costs to City of Burlington — NOT if site needs much engineering (DOB)
- 17 — Permits substantial savings in resolution of the existing leachate problem from the former Bayview landfill site — NO, it would obstruct monitoring & leachate control works.
- 18 — No expropriation of property, — Candidate Site "F" is available NOW. — WRONG: NO PRIVATE operation
- 19 — No impact on adjacent property values — Wrong: Increases the present discount.
- 20 — With optimum use of Candidate Site "F", this location could last approximately 20 years and accommodate 12,000,000 cu. yds. (This is 100% of requirement) — NO (or only with inadequate buffers)
- 21 — If land adjacent to Site "F", west of King Road is used, then capacity could be 20,000,000 cu. yds.
- 22 — Burlington accounts for 43% of residential waste in the Region (1982) ✓ NO: A huge future liability

For further information call N.S.P. - 1-822-7900