

ADDENDUM

H. Kreplin

May 29, 1974

On the subject of credibility I submit that the proponents do not appear before this hearing with clean hands;

1. As mentioned, Gartner-See's first monitoring report interpreted the data literally in a correct way but changed their views subsequently to the effect of much contradiction and open-
dishonesty.

It should be noted that at the same time they eliminated the idea "Phenols" from all envelopes. Phenols are mainly-
count as they serve as a tag clearing the origin of a
samples contents. Without it, the origin, whether man-made
or natural, is left virtually an open question.
I find the coincidence of both changes inexplicable and
disturbing as they both obscured what I regard as the
true state of affairs. If that were deliberate, it would
suggest professional misconduct.

2. You may not be aware of the fact that the Region of Northern
attempted to set aside the due process that serves to
protect the public interest. The Minister of the Environment
was urged to cooperate in a scheme whereby the Region
would apply separately for each phase of expanding the
landfill, but it would apply at each a late date that
a permit could be issued as a matter of "urgency", i.e.
without any environmental hearing, which was the stated purpose.
Fortunately, the Minister did not agree to that conspiracy.

Hans Kreplin