

Alternatives

for reply (response) to Gortner Lee's comment

Hearings for

Nov. 11, '83

1. Degree of follow-up:

Remain silent (saving weak responses for Hearing?),

OR pursue via (a) Waste Disposal Mgr (McKay)

(and how vigorously?)

(b) SARM

(c) Regional Council

(d) City of Burlington only

(e) CELA

(f) MOE (Oadville/Approvals Board)

2. Subjects to follow up (or not):

- Item 9.1 re Phenols: Let Gortner Lee confirm "this is leachate" (not salty "deep groundwater" as postulated falsely in the 1984 EPA hearing).
- Items 9.1 to 9.7 as only Gortner Lee's comments (what does the Region now decide to do?)
- Item 9.8 (only): Will the Region instruct Gortner Lee to report more fully?
- Item 4 & 8, asking to reject EFW ash at the Region's Burlington landfill
- Item 7, insisting that the transfer station at (on) the Burlington landfill must be closed when that site closes.

"F" OR "D" / Monitoring of Burlington Landfill -Alt Alternatives for reply (response) to Gortner Lee's comments1. Degree of follow-up ? - choices:

- Remain silent (saving "weak response" for Herring)
- Pursue via (a) Waste Disposal Mgr. (McKay)
- (b) SWMC of Region (original presentation)
- (c) Council " " (second presentation)
- (d) City of Burlington
- (e) CELA
- (f) MoE (Oshvill office / Approvals Board ?)

2. Subjects for follow-up(?) - choices:

- Item 9.1 re. Phenols: Let Gortner Lee confirm "this is leachate" (not "salty deep groundwater" as postulated at the 1984 EPA hearing)
- Items 9.1 to 9.7 as only Gortner Lee's comments - ("what does the Region now decide to do?")
- Item 9.8 (only): Will the Region instruct Gortner Lee to report more fully?
- Items 7 & 8, asking to reject EFW ash at the Burlington landfill.
- Item 7, insisting that the transfer station at (on) the Burlington landfill must be closed when that site closes.

Copy (of rough draft) to Toby Vigod (CELA), Nov. 13 '85 JK.

LIST GIVEN Toby Vigod (CELA) Nov. 13 '85 JK