

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM
note de service

XW420 (REV. 6-76)

TO/destinataire

M. J. d'Andrade

SUBJECT/objet

Continued use of Burlington landfill site (Consultants report,
Dillon Cons. Eng's & Planners)

ISSUED/émise

July 21. 19 83

A.M./heure
P.M./heure

RECEIVED/reçue

19

A.M./heure
P.M./heure

CC/FILE

- Table with costs quoted in text (+ additional costs)
- West Burlington Ratepayers (committee) argues
 - against expanding the present site
 - for waste export to Niagara Falls, N.Y., whereby
 - \$1 million saved at present site pays for 6 months' extra costs;
 - remaining time (e.g. 3½ yrs.) of interim period (final system in '88) to increase the taxes by \$25/yr./household (*) to create the will to proceed to a permanent solution.

REPLY/réponse

Heinz Kneplin

(*) Max. \$-1.60/week ex. house hold (exgr. assessment, Burlington)

(2)

TABLE 1
BURLINGTON LANDFILL SITE
SUMMARY OF PHASES OF CONTINUED USE

STAGES OF CONTINUED USE	WASTE CAPACITY PROVIDED*				COVER MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS**		COSTS
	Waste Quantities Constant at 15,000 Tonnes/Month		Waste Quantities Constant at 13,100 Tonnes/Month		Incremental Surplus (deficit)	Cummulative Surplus (deficit)	est'd in text
	Capacity Provided (Months)	Resulting Site Closure Date	Capacity Provided (Months)	Resulting Site Closure Date			
Site as Presently Approved	14	June 1984	16	August 1984	(11,000 m ³)	(11,000 m ³)	
Phase A	6	December 1984	6.5	March 1985	(36,000 m ³)	(47,000 m ³)	\$16 000 155 000
Phase B	3	March 1985	3.5	June 1985	52,000 m ³	5,000 m ³	132 000
Phase C	13	April 1986	15	September 1986	(76,000 m ³)	(71,000 m ³)	251 000
Phase D	10	February 1987	11	August 1987	99,000 m ³	28,000 m ³	147 000
							\$707 000

* Assuming all Region's waste directed to Burlington site.

** Based on 4.1 refuse: cover ratio.

Extra: A - moving cover, re-seeding,
B - design, MoE approvals, hearings,
C - consultants, landscaping,
D - $\approx$ \$300 000

Total $\approx$ \$1 million?

4.5 West Burlington Ratepayers Association

7:00 p.m., 19-May 1983
Region Offices, Oakville

Present

J. Anderson
M.J. Boelhouwer
S. Chumly
A. Coome
J. Deming
R. Huxon
H. Keplin
G. Meakins
R. Meakins
J. McCooey
T. O'Connor
D. Pocock
J. Cowman, Alderman
W. Mulkewich, Regional Councillor
D. Perlin, Halton Region
G. Woodburn, Halton Region
J. Corbett, Halton Region
W. Wells, Dillon
J. Read, Dillon

Note This meeting was arranged by the Region (the CAO, D. Perlin) to introduce citizens to the Region's plan for expanding the Burlington landfill — in a less public or official setting: it was held at the Public Wks. Office (not the Region's Admin. Bldg.) in the evg. Dillon are the Region's landfill engineering consultants who presented their draft plan for the first time.

aste disposal
it could.
's "dumping
test Burlington
properly
e generally
ste problems.
s (i.e. Hooker
felt there may
sts if it would
ent studies.

The Association also did not feel protected against the establishment for further landfill sites (i.e. National Sewer Pipe) in their area. Expropriation of NSP lands and the effect of the Burlington landfill ideas on NSP's own landfill project were discussed. Other comments and/or suggestions provided by the Association were as follows:

- more emphasis be put on solid waste incineration;
- concern expressed over methane gas migration from the landfill to the urban areas;
- timing for implementation of the Bayview Park leachate collection system questioned; *Former Burlington dump on King Rd, now a riding risk & open space, but leaking leachate (no collection).*
- solid waste management should be the responsibility of a select group, not Councillors;
- Halton's solid wastes should be spread among a series of smaller sites;
- the Association asked for a future public meeting when Council decides its course of action;
- action also wanted on 2 illegal, closed sites in Aldershot.

Add (not minuted): In a lengthy discussion of alternatives, the CAO eliminated all, but the "export" option was proposed by me (H.K.) to be retained. Reasons given were

- (1) minimizing the rate of landfilling at the Burlington site
- (2) making political waves ¹⁷ to focus attention on the general landfill problem
- (3) extra cost would be only temporary and not prohibitive on a per capita basis. H. Kaplan